UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,573	02/09/2001	Richard Schweder	PLI-806	6823

7590 07/27/2004

Albert O. Cota
5460 White Oak Ave., A-331
Encino, CA 91316

EXAMINER	
MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2644	4

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/779,573	SCHWEDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin Michalski	2644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *07 May 2004*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1,2,4,6,8,10,12,15,17,18, and 20* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1,2,4,6,8,10,12,15,17,18 and 20* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claim 12 refers to claim 10 and 11 in line 2. Since claim 11 is canceled, the office assumes the applicant intends claim 12 to be dependent on claim 10. Appropriate correction required.

2. Claim 15 is objected to because it includes reference character "C12" on line 4 which is not enclosed within parentheses. Further reference "C12" does not appear in Figure 2B with respect to the DC to AC inverter circuit. The office assumes that reference "C12" on line 4 was inadvertently not deleted from claim 15. Appropriate correction required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bank et al. (Hereinafter "Bank") (US Patent 6,628,791) in view of Turner et al. (Hereinafter "Turner") (US Patent 3,992,585).

Regarding Claim 1, Bank discloses a power supply assembly (Figure 2) designed to produce a bias voltage that charges a diaphragm located on an electrolytic or electrostatic speaker (30) driven by an audio amplifier (Transformer 10), said assembly comprising: means for converting an input audio signal, derived directly from the audio amplifier, to a direct current (Rectification means 13); means for receiving the direct current and producing a regulated direct-current voltage (limiter 40); and means for limiting a direct current high voltage prior to being applied as the output bias voltage to the diaphragm (limiter 40). Bank does not disclose converting the direct-current voltage to a high voltage alternating current and then to a high voltage direct current. Vosteen discloses a high voltage amplifier which converts a low voltage signal (Figure 1, output of amplifier 10) into a high voltage alternating current signal (Inverter step-up transformers T1 and T2) (Column 2, lines 65-68) and then converts the signals into a high voltage direct current signal (rectifier filters 21, and 22) (Column 3, lines 1-6). Vosteen further discloses that the configuration allows for a reduced supply voltage (Column 1, lines 25, 31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the methods of Bank and Vosteen to create a high voltage gain with reduced power supply voltage as taught by Vosteen.

Regarding Claim 2, Bank further discloses converting an audio signal to a dc signal using a rectifier (Column 4, lines 28-31).

Regarding Claim 4, Bank further discloses (Figure 6) regulator (42a) whose desired (i.e. adjustable) voltage is determined by the number of diodes (Column 5, lines 21-28).

Regarding Claim 6, Vosteen further discloses the dc to ac converter being an inverter (Column 2, line 65).

Regarding Claim 8, Vosteen further discloses converting the high voltage ac to dc comprises a rectifier circuit (21 and 22) which may be used in conjunction with a voltage multiplier to provide further multiplication (i.e. eight time) of a signal if desired (i.e. adjustable output from 1.25kV to 5.6kV) (Column 3, lines 1-6).

5. Regarding Claim 10, Bank discloses a power supply assembly (Figure 2) designed to produce a bias voltage that charges a diaphragm located on an electrolytic or electrostatic speaker (30) driven by an audio amplifier (transformer 10), said assembly comprising: a rectifier and filter circuit (13) having means for receiving directly from the audio amplifier (transformer 10) an input audio signal that is rectified and filtered to produce a direct current; a adjustable regulator circuit (limiter 40) having means for receiving the direct current and producing a regulated direct current voltage that is set to an optimum level; and a current limiter circuit (limiter 40) having means for receiving and limiting a voltage dc signal prior to being applied as the output bias voltage to the diaphragm. Bank does not disclose converting the direct-current voltage to a high voltage alternating current and then to a high voltage direct current. Vosteen discloses a high voltage amplifier which converts a low voltage signal (Figure 1, output of

amplifier 10) into a high voltage alternating current signal (Inverter step-up transformers T1 and T2) (Column 2, lines 65-68) and then converts the signals into a high voltage direct current signal (rectifier filters 21, and 22) (Column 3, lines 1-6). Vosteen further discloses converting the high voltage ac to dc comprises a rectifier circuit (21 and 22) which may be used in conjunction with a voltage multiplier to provide further multiplication (i.e. eight time) (Column 3, lines 1-6). Vosteen further discloses that the configuration allows for a reduced supply voltage (Column 1, lines 25, 31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the methods of Bank and Vosteen to create a high voltage gain with reduced power supply voltage as taught by Vosteen.

Regarding Claims 15, Bank as modified discloses an assembly as stated above apropos of claim 14 but does not disclose the inverter being an integrated circuit. However, it is well known in the art that circuits can be fabricated using integrated circuit technology in order to save space and simplify assembly of an electronic device.

Regarding Claim 17, Bank further discloses a rectifier circuit (Figure 6, circuit 13) comprising capacitors 15a and 15b and diodes 13c and 13d. Although Bank does not disclose the rectifier being an integrated circuit, it is known in the art that circuits can be fabricated using integrated circuit technology in order to save space and simplify assembly of an electronic device.

Regarding Claim 18, Bank further discloses resistor ladder (Figure 6, resistors 17a and 17b) and resistor 17b where the bias voltage is produced and a capacitor (41) connected to ground (50) (i.e. virtual ground).

Regarding Claim 20, Bank further discloses resistor ladder (Figure 6, resistors 17a and 17b).

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bank as modified as applied to claim 10, in further view of Quick (US Patent 4,447,783).

Regarding Claim 12, Bank as modified discloses an assembly as stated apropos of claim 11 further disclosing the bias voltage on diode 13e can exceed 3000 volts (i.e. between 3000 and 500 volts) (Column 5, line 62). Bank does not disclose the regulator being an integrated circuit or the use of potentiometers. However, it is known in the art that circuits can be fabricated using integrated circuit technology in order to save space and simplify assembly of an electronic device. It is also known that potentiometers can be used to make adjustments to parameters of a circuit including bias voltages as disclosed by Quick (Column 5, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an integrated circuit and potentiometers to decrease the size an be able to change the bias voltage to a desired level.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (703)305-5598. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 Hours, 5 day/week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JIM

XU MEI
PRIMARY EXAMINER